



TITLE:

# Linear independence of the values of ${}_q$ -hypergeometric series (New Aspects of Analytic Number Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Amou, Masaaki

---

CITATION:

Amou, Masaaki. Linear independence of the values of  ${}_q$ -hypergeometric series (New Aspects of Analytic Number Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2002, 1274: 177-182

ISSUE DATE:

2002-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/42262>

RIGHT:

# Linear independence of the values of $q$ -hypergeometric series

Masaaki Amou\* (天羽雅昭・群馬大工)

In the present note we are interested in linear independence of the values of a certain class of  $q$ -hypergeometric series and its generalizations. We give a brief history on this topic in the first section, then state our results in the second and the third sections. Our results here are in [1], a joint work with K. Väänänen.

## 1. A brief history

Let us call here  $q$ -hypergeometric series the series of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{-s\binom{n}{2}}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} P(q^{-k})} z^n,$$

where  $q$  is a complex number with absolute value greater than one,  $s$  is a positive integer, and  $P(x)$  is a polynomial with complex coefficients satisfying  $P(0) \neq 0$  and  $P(q^{-n}) \neq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Note that  $f(z)$  represents an entire function. By defining  $R(x) = x^s P(1/x)$ , the series (1.1) can be expressed as

$$f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} R(q^k)}.$$

Then, under the assumption that  $\deg P \leq s$  (or equivalently,  $R(x)$  is a polynomial),  $f(z)$  satisfies the  $q$ -difference equation

$$(1.2) \quad \{R(D/q) - z\}f(z) = R(1/q), \quad Df(z) := f(qz).$$

---

\*Research supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 13640007), the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

The cases  $R(x) = qx$  and  $R(x) = qx - 1$  correspond to the Tschakaloff function  $T_q(z)$  and the  $q$ -exponential function  $E_q(z)$ , respectively.

The study of the arithmetical nature of the values of the function  $T_q(z)$  goes back to Tschakaloff [10] in 1921. He proved the linear independence over the rational number field  $\mathbf{Q}$  of the numbers  $1, T_q(\alpha_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) under a certain condition on  $q \in \mathbf{Q}$ , where  $\alpha_j$  are nonzero rational numbers satisfying  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \neq q^n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ) for any  $i \neq j$ , while Skolem [8] proved a similar result involving the derivatives of the function. The former result was refined in a quantitative form by Bundschuh and Shiokawa [4], and the later result by Katsurada [5]. Note that both results are valid for  $q \in \mathbf{K}$  and numbers  $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{K}$  with certain conditions, here and in what follows  $\mathbf{K}$  denotes  $\mathbf{Q}$  or an imaginary quadratic number field. Then Stihl [9] generalized the result of Bundschuh and Shiokawa to  $f(z)$  having  $P(x) \in \mathbf{K}[x]$  with  $\deg P < s$ , and proved the linear independence over  $\mathbf{K}$  of the numbers

$$1, f(q^k \alpha_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; k = 0, 1, \dots, s-1)$$

in quantitative form under a certain condition on  $q \in \mathbf{K}$ , where  $\alpha_j$  are nonzero elements of  $\mathbf{K}$  satisfying the same conditions as above. Since the functional equation (1.2) for  $f(z)$  with  $\deg P \leq s$  has the order  $s$  with respect to the  $q$ -difference operator  $D$ , this result is best possible in qualitative nature. Further, Katsurada [6] put the derivatives of the function in Stihl's result to get the linear independence over  $\mathbf{K}$  of the numbers

$$(1.3) \quad 1, f^{(i)}(q^k \alpha_j) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell; j = 1, \dots, m; k = 0, 1, \dots, s-1)$$

in quantitative form under the same conditions as Stihl's on  $q$  and  $\alpha_j$ 's, where  $\ell$  is a nonnegative integer.

We now come to the general case in which the degree of  $P(x)$  is not necessarily less than  $s$ . In this direction Lototsky [7] in 1943 proved an irrationality result on  $E_q(\alpha)$  with  $q \in \mathbf{Z}$  at a rational point  $\alpha$  different from  $q^n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). A quantitative refinement of this result with  $q \in \mathbf{K}$  was obtained by Bundschuh [3]. After the work of Stihl [9], on noting that  $\{R(q^k)\}$  is a linear recurrent sequence, Bézivin [2] introduced a class of entire series as follows. Let  $\{A(n)\}$  be a linear recurrent sequence of the form

$$(1.4) \quad A(n) = \lambda_1 \theta_1^n + \dots + \lambda_h \theta_h^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\theta_i$  are nonzero algebraic integers and  $\lambda_i$  are nonzero algebraic numbers. Assume that  $A(n)$  belong to  $\mathbf{K}^\times$ , and that

$$(1.5) \quad |\theta_1| > |\theta_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\theta_h| \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \theta_h < |\theta_{h-1}| \text{ if } |\theta_h| = 1.$$

Then we define an entire function  $\Phi(z)$  by

$$(1.6) \quad \Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\prod_{k=0}^n A(k)}.$$

Denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  the multiplicative group generated by  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h$ , Bézivin [2] proved the linear independence over  $\mathbf{K}$  of the numbers

$$(1.7) \quad 1, \Phi^{(i)}(\alpha_j) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell; j = 1, \dots, m),$$

where  $\alpha_j$  are nonzero elements of  $\mathbf{K}$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \notin \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  for any  $i \neq j$ , and in addition that  $\lambda_h \alpha_j \notin \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ) if  $\theta_h = 1$ . This result implies that, for  $f(z)$  with  $\deg P \leq s$  and an integer  $q$  in  $\mathbf{K}$ , the numbers (1.3) without powers of  $q$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{K}$ .

## 2. Generalizations of Bézivin's result

We can relax the condition (1.5) in Bézivin's result to get the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_h$  be nonzero algebraic integers such that*

$$|\theta_1| > 1, \quad |\theta_1| > |\theta_2| \geq \cdots \geq |\theta_h|,$$

*and that  $|\theta_h| < |\theta_{h-1}|$  if  $|\theta_h| < 1$  and  $\theta_h = 1 < |\theta_{h-1}|$  if  $|\theta_h| = 1$ . Let  $\{A(n)\}$  be the recurrent sequence (1.4) with nonzero algebraic numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_h$ , and assume that  $A(n)$  belong to  $\mathbf{K}^\times$  for all  $n$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be elements of  $\mathbf{K}^\times$  satisfying  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \notin \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  for any  $i \neq j$ . If  $\theta_h = 1$ , assume in addition that  $\lambda_h \alpha_j^{-1} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ). Then the numbers (1.7) are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{K}$ .*

We give an example of this theorem. Let  $\{F_n\}$  be the Fibonacci sequence defined by  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$  and  $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), which is expressed as

$$F_n = \lambda_1 \alpha^n + \lambda_2 \beta^n \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $\alpha = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2, \beta = (1 - \sqrt{5})/2, \lambda_1 = \alpha/\sqrt{5}, \lambda_2 = -\beta/\sqrt{5}$ . Since  $\beta = -\alpha^{-1}$ , the multiplicative group generated by  $\alpha^\nu$  and  $\beta^\nu$  with a positive integer  $\nu$  is  $\langle -1 \rangle \times \langle \alpha^\nu \rangle$  or  $\langle \alpha^\nu \rangle$  according as  $\nu$  is odd or even. Hence the numbers

$$1, \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1) \alpha_j^{n-i}}{F_0 F_\nu \cdots F_{n\nu}} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell; j = 1, \dots, m)$$

are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{Q}$ , if  $\nu$  is odd and  $\alpha_j$  are nonzero rational numbers having distinct absolute values, or if  $\nu$  is even and  $\alpha_j$  are nonzero distinct rational numbers.

For the next result let  $\theta_i, \lambda_i \in \mathbf{K}$  in the above, and assume that  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is a free abelian group. We take a free abelian group  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$  of finite rank satisfying  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{G}} \subset \bar{\mathbf{Q}}^\times$ . Let  $r$  be the rank of  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ , and  $\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_r$  be a set of generators of  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ . By using these generators we can express  $\theta_i$  as

$$\theta_i = \Theta_1^{e(i,1)} \cdots \Theta_r^{e(i,r)} \quad (i = 1, \dots, h).$$

Define

$$\hat{\mathcal{S}} = \{\Theta_1^{\nu_1} \cdots \Theta_r^{\nu_r} \mid 0 \leq \nu_j < s_j, j = 1, \dots, r\},$$

where

$$s_j = \max(0, e(1, j), \dots, e(h, j)) - \min(0, e(1, j), \dots, e(h, j)) \quad (j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Note that  $s_j \geq 1$  for all  $j$ . Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let the notations and the assumptions be as above. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be nonzero elements of  $\mathbf{K}$  satisfying  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \notin \hat{\mathcal{G}}$  for any  $i \neq j$ . If  $\theta_h = 1$ , assume in addition that  $\lambda_h \alpha_j^{-1} \notin \hat{\mathcal{G}}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ). Then the numbers*

$$1, \Phi^{(i)}(\lambda \alpha_j) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell; j = 1, \dots, m; \lambda \in \hat{\mathcal{S}})$$

*are linearly independent over  $\mathbf{K}$ .*

### 3. $q$ -hypergeometric series

We can apply Theorem 2 for considering the values of a series generalizing the series (1.1). Let  $q_1, \dots, q_r$  be  $r$  nonzero multiplicatively independent integers in  $\mathbf{K}$

with  $|q_i| > 1$  for all  $i$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  be the multiplicative group generated by them. Let  $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  be an element of  $K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad P(0, \dots, 0) \neq 0, \quad P(q_1^{-n}, \dots, q_r^{-n}) \neq 0 \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, for positive integers  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ , we define

$$(3.2) \quad \phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r q_i^{-t_i \binom{n}{2}}}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} P(q_1^{-k}, \dots, q_r^{-k})} z^n.$$

This series is a particular case of the series (1.6), and reduces to the series (1.1) when  $r = 1$ . We first restrict ourselves to the case  $\deg_{x_i} P \leq t_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $q_i$  be as above, and  $\phi(z)$  be the series (3.2) with  $\deg_{x_i} P \leq t_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ). Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be nonzero elements of  $K$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \notin \mathcal{G}$  for any  $i \neq j$ , and assume in addition that  $p_{t_1, \dots, t_r} \alpha_i^{-1} \notin \mathcal{G}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) if  $p_{t_1, \dots, t_r} \neq 0$ , where  $p_{t_1, \dots, t_r}$  is the coefficient of  $x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_r^{t_r}$  in  $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . Then the numbers*

$$(3.3) \quad 1, \phi^{(i)}(\lambda \alpha_j) \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, \ell; j = 1, \dots, m; \lambda \in \mathcal{S}_1)$$

are linearly independent over  $K$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{q_1^{k_1} \cdots q_r^{k_r} \mid 0 \leq k_i < t_i \ (i = 1, \dots, r)\}$$

To give a result without the condition  $\deg_{x_i} P \leq t_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) we assume that  $P(x_1, \dots, x_r)$  is a product of polynomials  $P_i(x_i) \in K[x_i]$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\phi(z)$  be the series (3.2) with  $P(x_1, \dots, x_r) = P_1(x_1) \cdots P_r(x_r)$ , where  $P_i(x_i) \in K[x_i]$  and the condition (3.1) is satisfied. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be nonzero elements of  $K$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \notin \mathcal{G}$  for any  $i \neq j$ , and assume in addition that  $p_{1, t_1} \cdots p_{r, t_r} \alpha_j^{-1} \notin \mathcal{G}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) if  $p_{1, t_1} \cdots p_{r, t_r} \neq 0$ , where  $p_{i, t_i}$  is the coefficient of  $x_i^{t_i}$  in  $P_i(x_i)$ . Then the numbers (3.3) with  $\mathcal{S}_2$  instead of  $\mathcal{S}_1$  are linearly independent over  $K$ , where*

$$\mathcal{S}_2 = \{q_1^{k_1} \cdots q_r^{k_r} \mid 0 \leq k_i < s_i \ (i = 1, \dots, r)\}, \quad s_i = \max(t_i, \deg P_i).$$

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 4, which generalizes Katsurada's result [6] in qualitative form.

**Corollary.** *Let  $q$  be an integer in  $K$  with  $|q| > 1$ . Let  $f(z)$  be the series (1.1) with  $P(z) \in K[z]$  satisfying  $P(0) \neq 0, P(q^{-n}) \neq 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  be nonzero elements of  $K$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j \neq q^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) for any  $i \neq j$ . Assume in addition that  $p_s \alpha_j^{-1} \neq q^n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, m$ ) if  $p_s \neq 0$ , where  $p_s$  is the coefficient of  $x^s$  in  $P(x)$ . Then the numbers (1.3) are linearly independent over  $K$ .*

## References

- [1] M. Amou and K. Väänänen, *Linear independence of the values of  $q$ -hypergeometric series and related functions*, preprint.
- [2] J.-P. Bézivin, *Indépendance linéaire des valeurs des solutions transcendentes de certaines équations fonctionnelles*, Manuscripta Math. **6** (1988), 103–129.
- [3] P. Bundschuh, *Arithmetische Untersuchungen unendlicher Produkte*, Inventiones Math. **61** (1969), 275–295.
- [4] P. Bundschuh and I. Shiokawa, *A measure for the linear independence of certain numbers*, Results Math. **14** (1988), 318–329.
- [5] M. Katsurada, *Linear independence measures for certain numbers*, Results Math. **14** (1988), 318–329.
- [6] M. Katsurada, *Linear independence measures for values of Heine series*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 449–460.
- [7] A. V. Lototsky, *Sur l'irrationalité d'un produit infini*, Math. Sbornik **12(54)** (1943), 262–272.
- [8] K. Skolem, *Some theorems on irrationality and linear independence*, in "Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress Trondheim, 1949", pp. 77–98.
- [9] Th. Stihl, *Arithmetische Eigenschaften spezieller Heinescher Reihen*, Math. Ann. **268** (1984), 21–41.
- [10] L. Tschakaloff, *Arithmetische Eigenschaften der unendlichen Reihe  $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} x^{\nu} a^{-\frac{1}{2}\nu(\nu+1)}$*  I, Math. Ann. **80** (1921) 62–74; II, ibid. **84** (1921), 100–114.